

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/056,715	01/25/2002	Thomas M. Aune	O119.12-0013	3167	
164	7590 09/01/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KINNEY & LANGE, P.A. THE KINNEY & LANGE BUILDING			CECIL, TERRY K		
312 SOUTH THIRD STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPO:	LIS, MN 55415-1002		1723		
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/01/2004	DATE MAILED: 00/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	A				
A design on A of	10/056,715	AUNE ET AL.	9				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>				
	Mr. Terry K. Cecil	1723					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		l I	ess				
THE REPLY FILED 12 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	ation. A proper reply high places the application	to a				
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for replying later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S RR 1.136(a) and the approposation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final O	n. See MPEP oriate extension priate extension office action: or				
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (CFR 1.704(b).		•				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appl	roved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.					
. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>							
		Mr. Terry K. Cecil Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1723					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 110/056,715

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's removal of "cartridge" in the preamble of the independent claims in an attempt to obviate a 112, 1s paragraph rejection results in a broaden of the claims and would require further search/consideration if entered. Also, If enterred, the term "filter cartridge" in e.g. line 9 of claim 1 would lack proper antecedent basis, as well as the inclusion of the term in the dependent claims, resulting in a 112, 2nd paragraph rejection.

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant's terminal disclaimer, which has been approved, obviates the double patenting rejection of the prior office action..